

$\Sigma(1880)$ 1/2⁺ $I(J^P) = 1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: **

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

A P_{11} resonance is suggested by several partial-wave analyses, but with wide variations in the mass and other parameters. We list here all claims which lie well above the P_{11} $\Sigma(1770)$.

 $\Sigma(1880)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
≈ 1880 OUR ESTIMATE			
1826±20	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1870±10	CAMERON 78B	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*$
1847 or 1863	¹ MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1960±30	² BAILLON 75	IPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
1985±50	VANHORN 75	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$
1898	³ LEA 73	DPWA	Multichannel K-matrix
~ 1850	ARMENTEROS70	IPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1950±50	BARBARO-...	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
1920±30	LITCHFIELD 70	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
1850	BAILEY 69	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1882±40	SMART 68	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$

NODE=B067M

NODE=B067M
→ UNCHECKED ← **$\Sigma(1880)$ WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
86± 15	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
80± 10	CAMERON 78B	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*$
216 or 220	¹ MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
260± 40	² BAILLON 75	IPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
220±140	VANHORN 75	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$
222	³ LEA 73	DPWA	Multichannel K-matrix
~ 30	ARMENTEROS70	IPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
200± 50	BARBARO-...	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
170± 40	LITCHFIELD 70	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
200	BAILEY 69	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
222±150	SMART 68	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$

NODE=B067W

NODE=B067W

 $\Sigma(1880)$ DECAY MODES

Mode
$\Gamma_1 N\bar{K}$
$\Gamma_2 \Lambda\pi$
$\Gamma_3 \Sigma\pi$
$\Gamma_4 N\bar{K}^*(892)$, $S=1/2$, P -wave
$\Gamma_5 N\bar{K}^*(892)$, $S=3/2$, P -wave

NODE=B067215; NODE=B067

DESIG=1
DESIG=2
DESIG=3
DESIG=4
DESIG=5

NODE=B067220

NODE=B067220

 $\Sigma(1880)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>				
0.06±0.02	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.27 or 0.27	¹ MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
0.31	³ LEA 73	DPWA	Multichannel K-matrix	
0.20	ARMENTEROS70	IPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.22	BAILEY 69	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	

NODE=B067R1
NODE=B067R1

$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1880) \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2)^{1/2} / \Gamma$			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.24 or -0.24	1 MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel				
-0.12 ± 0.02	2 BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$				
+0.05 ± 0.07 -0.02	VANHORN	75	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$				
-0.169 ± 0.119	DEVENISH	74B	Fixed-t dispersion rel.				
-0.30	3 LEA	73	DPWA Multichannel K-matrix				
-0.09 ± 0.04	BARBARO...	70	DPWA $K^- N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$				
-0.14 ± 0.03	LITCHFIELD	70	DPWA $K^- N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$				
-0.11 ± 0.03	SMART	68	DPWA $K^- N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$				

$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1880) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2} / \Gamma$			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.30 or +0.29	1 MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel				
not seen	3 LEA	73	DPWA Multichannel K-matrix				

$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1880) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892)$, S=1/2, P-wave $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_4)^{1/2} / \Gamma$			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.05 ± 0.03	4 CAMERON	78B	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*$

$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1880) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892)$, S=3/2, P-wave $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_5)^{1/2} / \Gamma$			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.11 ± 0.03	CAMERON	78B	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*$

$\Sigma(1880)$ FOOTNOTES

- 1 The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.
 2 From solution 1 of BAILLON 75; not present in solution 2.
 3 Only unconstrained states from table 1 of LEA 73 are listed.
 4 The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.

$\Sigma(1880)$ REFERENCES

GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf.	159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
CAMERON	78B	NP B146	327	W. Cameron <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127	349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. Moorhouse	(LOUC+) IJP
Also		NP B126	266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also		NP B126	285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
BAILLON	75	NP B94	39	P.H. Baillon, P.J. Litchfield	(CERN, RHEL) IJP
VANHORN	75	NP B87	145	A.J. van Horn	(LBL) IJP
Also		NP B87	157	A.J. van Horn	(LBL) IJP
DEVENISH	74B	NP B81	330	R.C.E. Devenish, C.D. Froggatt, B.R. Martin	(DESY+)
LEA	73	NP B56	77	A.T. Lea <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOUC, GLAS, AARH) IJP
ARMENTEROS	70	Duke Conf.	123	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, SACL) IJP
Hyperon Resonances, 1970					
BARBARO...	70	Duke Conf.	173	A. Barbaro-Galtieri	(LRL) IJP
Hyperon Resonances, 1970					
LITCHFIELD	70	NP B22	269	P.J. Litchfield	(RHEL) IJP
BAILEY	69	Thesis UCRL	50617	J.M. Bailey	(LLL) IJP
SMART	68	PR 169	1330	W.M. Smart	(LRL) IJP

NODE=B067R2
NODE=B067R2

NODE=B067R3
NODE=B067R3

NODE=B067R4
NODE=B067R4

NODE=B067R5
NODE=B067R5

NODE=B067
NODE=B067;LINKAGE=C
NODE=B067;LINKAGE=B
NODE=B067;LINKAGE=A
NODE=B067;LINKAGE=D

NODE=B067

REFID=31755
REFID=31838
REFID=31762
REFID=31763
REFID=31764
REFID=32089
REFID=32093
REFID=32094
REFID=30036
REFID=31929
REFID=31842
REFID=31777
REFID=32293
REFID=31841
REFID=32253